

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:	Raghunath et al	Examiner:	TSO, Edward H.
Serial No:	10/668,626	Group:	Art Unit: 2838
Filed:	September 23, 2003	Docket:	Y0R920030220US1 (8728-629)
For:	RECHARGEABLE ELECTRONIC DEVICE SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR RECHARGING AN ELECTRONIC DEVICE		

Mail Stop - Petitions

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.181

This is a petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.181 requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment of the above-identified application. The USPTO issued a Notice of Abandonment, mailed on November 21, 2006, indicating that the above-identified application had gone abandoned based on Applicant's failure to timely file a response to a Final Office Action mailed on March 6, 2006. A copy of the Notice of Abandonment is annexed hereto.

Unfortunately, neither the Final Office Action mailed on March 6, 2006 nor the Notice of Abandonment mailed on November 21, 2006 was actually delivered to our Office. Indeed, the record in this case indicates that both the Office Action and Notice of Abandonment were mailed to a wrong Office address, and subsequently returned to the USPTO as "undeliverable." Enclosed is a copy of the Image File Wrapper from Private Pair in connection with the above case, which illustrates that the Final Office Action was returned as "undeliverable" to the USPTO on March 14, 2006, and that the Notice of Abandonment was returned as "undeliverable" to the USPTO on November 29, 2006.

These documents were mailed to the wrong Office address despite the fact that a Change of Correspondence Address documents was filed in this case on three separate occasions, (i) April 11, 2005, (ii) August 1, 2005, and (iii) September 29, 2005, all well in advance of the issuance of the Final Office Action mailed of March 6, 2006. The enclosed copy of the Image File Wrapper from Private Pair indicates that all three Change of Correspondence Address documents were duly received and filed by the USPTO.

In response to the **Decision on Petition** mailed February 5, 2007, Applicants respectfully urge the Patent Office to withdraw the Notice of Abandonment in the interest of fairness. Consider that no indication as to why the Change of Correspondence Address documents were not being entered was given. Indeed, at the time of the filings of April 11, 2005 and August 1, 2005 correspondence to our old address was forwarded to our new address by the USPS (as evidence by the receipt of the later mailed non-final rejection), and such an indication, as are routinely issued in connection with non-compliant amendments and the like, would have enabled a proper Change of Correspondence Address document to be entered.

The Applicants' undersigned attorney only became aware of the outstanding Final Office Action and the Notice of Abandonment after the application had gone abandoned by virtue of a random status check that was performed using Private Pair. Furthermore, after learning of the Abandonment, the undersigned conducted a search of the file jacket and reviewed the docketing records for this application with our Docketing Clerk and Office Manager, and determined that our Office in fact never received the Final Office Action mailed on March 6, 2006, and that our Office personnel otherwise had no knowledge of the pending Final Office Action.

Accordingly, in view of the above circumstances, Applicants respectfully request that the Notice of Abandonment be withdrawn, and that the Patent Office reissue the outstanding Final

Office Action to the proper Office address as specified in the Change of Correspondence
Address Applications filed in this case.

Respectfully submitted,



Nathaniel T. Wallace
Reg. No. 48,909
Attorney for Applicants

Mailing Address:

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC
130 Woodbury Road
Woodbury, New York 11797
TEL: (516) 692-8888
FAX: (516) 692-8889